

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/579,542	05/26/2000	Cheng Chung Lin	TSMC2000-079	7369
28112 7	590 04/21/2003			
	SAILE & ASSOCIAT	ES	EXAMI	NER
28 DAVIS AV POUGHKEEP:	SIE, NY 12603		VINH, LAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
•	·		DATE MAILED: 04/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/579,542	LIN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lan Vinh	1765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE. lication. A proper re	eply to a ication in			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The draw been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three n	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T late on which the petition under 37 CFR nsion and the corresponding amount of t	HE FINAL REJECTION. 1.136(a) and the appropriate in the final Office action:	See MPEP ate extension fee extension fee under or (2) as set forth in			
(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	joining after the maning and					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismission	e period set forth in al of the appeal.				
2 M The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		.			
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(1) \(\subset \) they reign the issue of new matter (see Note below):						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing of simplifying the						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo	ection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou	ald be allowable if submitted in					
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request	See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOLE					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered $\mathfrak c$ would be rejected is provided	or b) will be enter below or appended	ed and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .						
Ole in (a) withdrawn from consideration:			vominor			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)□ approved or b)□ di	sapproved by the E	xammer.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	(s)				
10. Other:						
1						





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitations of "applied to only said plasma" and "to only said plasma", as recirted in amended claims 1, 9,14, raise new issue that would require further consideration. The added limitation of "with no intervening steps", as recited in amended claim 1, raise the issue of new matter because there is no positive support for this limitation in the specification of the instant application.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Apllicant's argument regarding the rejection of claims 8, 13, 18 are not persuasive. The applicants argue that the fact that an invention teaches how to make something that can be imagined but for which there previouly exist no known process for its manufacture, does not make the process obvious. The examiner disagrees because the instant inventions, as per claims 8, 13, 18, recite a method to make a low k dielectric constant material has a flat band voltage that is less than about -3 volts and since the cited reference of Huang teaches a method to make a low k dielectric material and it is known in the art that the dielectric material has a flat band voltage of -1.82 V, the examiner asserts that it is obvious to employ Huang process (a known process to manufacture a low k dielectric material) to manufacture a low k dielectric material has a flat band voltage that is less than about -3 Volts because the claimed flat band voltage value is a known property of the dielectric material.

millo

BENJAMIN L. UTECH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700